IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CRIMINAL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.629 OF 2006
IN
CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO.8309 OF 2005

Ganpat Bhiva Pande — Applicant
versus
State of Maharashtra — Respondent

WITH
CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.622 OF 2006
IN
CRIMINAL BAIL APPLICATION NO.7505 OF 2005

Ashok Dattaram Pande — Applicant
versus
State of Maharashtra — Respondent

Shri P.A.Pol, APP present.

CORAM : S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.
DATE : 13th September 2006

PC :

1. These applications were placed on board yesterday but none has appeared in support of the same. They were adjourned till today.

2. One of the application was specifically listed for today. None has appeared for the applicants in support of the prayer for relaxation of the condition imposed while enlarging the applicants on bail.

3. That apart, it is pointed out to me that the trial has commenced but it is not true that the witnesses from the concerned village, whose limits the applicants are restrained from entering, have already been examined.

4. It is pointed out that only the prosecutrix (PW-1) has been examined and her cross examination is inconclusive. The other witnesses have yet to step into the witness box. In such circumstances these applications are premature and not maintainable. The applicants, therefore, will be at liberty to apply at a subsequent stage for the reliefs prayed herein. Both applications are rejected as premature with liberty as above.

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.)